arXiv:1703.09416v1 [math.AG] 28 Mar 2017

GALOIS WEIERSTRASS POINTS WHOSE WEIERSTRASS SEMIGROUPS ARE GENERATED BY TWO ELEMENTS

JIRYO KOMEDA AND TAKESHI TAKAHASHI

Abstract. Let C be a nonsingular projective curve of genus ≥ 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. For a point P in C, the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P)$ is defined as the set of non-negative integers n for which there exists a rational function f on C such that the order of pole of f at P equals n and f is regular away from P. A point P in C is referred to as a Galois Weierstrass point if the morphism corresponding to the complete linear system $|aP|$ is a Galois covering, where a is the smallest positive integer in $H(P)$. In this paper, we investigate the number of Galois Weierstrass points whose Weierstrass semigroups are generated by two positive integers.

1. Introduction and Theorem

A curve refers to a complete nonsingular algebraic curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. A plane curve refers to a (complete nonsingular) curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Yoshihara introduced the notion of a Galois point for a plane curve as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([5, 8])**.** Let C be a plane curve of degree $d \geq 3$. For a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, the projection $\pi_P : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ from P induces an extension of function fields $\pi_P^* : k(\mathbb{P}^1) \hookrightarrow k(C)$. P is referred to as a *Galois point* for C if the extension is Galois. Moreover, when $P \in C$ or when $P \notin C$, the point is said to be an inner or outer Galois point, respectively.

There is a result on the number of inner Galois points as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([5, 8])**.** *Let C be a plane curve of degree $d \geq 4$. If $d \geq 5$, then the number of inner Galois points for C equals 0 or 1. If $d = 4$, then the number of inner Galois points for C equals 0, 1, or 4. Moreover, the number equals 4 if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve $XZ^3 + X^4 + Y^4 = 0$, where $(X : Y : Z)$ be a system of homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Remark 1.1. There are also a result on the number of outer Galois points, and many other results on Galois points. See [5, 8, 2, 9] and so on.

On the other hand, inner Galois points for a plane curve are characterized as Galois Weierstrass points as follows. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the set of all non-negative integers.

Date: March 29, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H55; Secondly 14H50,14H30, 20M14.
Key words and phrases. Galois Weierstrass point, Weierstrass semigroup of a point.
This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15K04830 and 16K05094.

Definition 1.2 ([6])**.** Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$. A point $P \in C$ is termed a *Galois Weierstrass point* (GW point), if the morphism $\Phi_{|aP|} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the complete linear system $|aP|$ is a Galois covering, where a is the smallest positive integer of the Weierstrass semigroup

$$H(P) := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \exists f \in k(C) \text{ such that } (f)_\infty = nP\}.$$

We denote $\langle a, b\rangle$ as the numerical semigroup generated by elements $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 2.3 in [4])**.** *If a point P is an inner Galois point for a plane curve C of degree $d \geq 4$, then P is a GW point with $H(P) = \langle d-1, d\rangle$.*

Conversely, if P is a GW point of a curve C with $H(P) = \langle d-1, d\rangle$ $(d \geq 4)$, then C is isomorphic to a plane curve and P is an inner Galois point.

Remark 1.2. In [4], we also characterized outer Galois points with the notation *weak Galois Weierstrass points*.

In this paper, we study the number of GW points whose semigroups are generated by two elements, as a generalization of Theorem 1.1. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let a and b be integers such that $a \geq 3$, $b \geq a+2$ and the greatest common divisor* $\gcd(a, b) = 1$*. For a curve C we set*

$$GW_{\langle a,b\rangle}(C) := \{P \in C \mid P \text{ is a GW point with } H(P) = \langle a, b\rangle\}.$$

Then we have the following:

(1) *If $b \equiv a-1 \pmod a$, then $\#GW_{\langle a,b\rangle}(C) = 0$ or $b+1$.*
(2) *If $b \not\equiv a-1 \pmod a$, then $\#GW_{\langle a,b\rangle}(C) = 0$ or 1.*

Remark 1.3. In the case that $b = a+1$, by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we have that $\#GW_{\langle a,a+1\rangle}(C) = 0$ or 1 if $a \geq 4$, and $\#GW_{\langle 3,4\rangle}(C) = 0, 1$ or 4.

In Section 2, we describe some elementary inequalities and preliminary results on GW points. We use these results in the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 4, we describe some examples of GW points.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we describe some elementary inequalities and preliminary results on GW points.

2.1. **Elementary inequality.** Let $a, r \in \mathbb{N}$ hold $a \geq 2$ and $\gcd(a, r) = 1$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $[s]$ the greatest integer less than or equal to s. In this subsection, we show the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let w_1 an w_2 be numbers as follows:*

$$w_1 := \frac{a}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{a-1}\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right] - 1\right)\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{a-1}\left(lr - \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]a\right)\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]\right) - \frac{g(g+1)}{2};$$

$$w_2 := \frac{a}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{a-1}\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right] - 1\right)\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{a-1}(a-l)\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]\right) - \frac{g(g+1)}{2},$$

where $g = (a-1)(a+r-1)/2$. Then, $(3a+1)(w_1 + (a+r)w_2) > (g-1)g(g+1)$.

For the proof of Proposition 2.1, we state some Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : \{0,1,2,\dots,a-1\} \to \{0,1,2,\dots,a-1\}$ be a map given by $\varphi(l) \equiv rl \pmod a$, i.e., $\varphi(l) = lr - [lr/a]a$. Then, the map φ is bijective. In particular, $\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \varphi(l) = \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l = (a-1)a/2$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l\varphi(l) \leq \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 = (a-1)a(2a-1)/6$.*

Proof. Obvious. □

Lemma 2.2. *We have the following:*

(1) $\displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right] = \frac{(r-1)(a-1)}{2}$;

(2) $\displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l\left[\frac{lr}{a}\right] \geq \frac{(r-1)(a-1)(2a-1)}{6}$;

(3) $\displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]^2 \geq \frac{(r-1)^2(a-1)(2a-1)}{6a}$.

Proof. Let φ be the map stated in Lemma 2.1.

(1) $\sum_{l=1}^{a-1}[lr/a] = \sum_{l=1}^{a-1}(lr/a - \varphi(l)/a) = 1/a(r\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l - \sum_{l=1}^{a-1}\varphi(l)) = (r-1)(a-1)/2$.

(2) $\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l[lr/a] = \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l(lr/a - \varphi(l)/a) = r/a\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 - 1/a\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l\varphi(l) \geq r/a\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 - 1/a\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 = (r-1)(a-1)(2a-1)/6$.

(3) $\sum_{l=1}^{a-1}[lr/a]^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{a-1}(lr/a - \varphi(l)/a)^2 = 1/a^2(r^2\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 - 2r\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l\varphi(l) + \sum_{l=1}^{a-1}\varphi(l)^2) \geq 1/a^2(r^2\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 - 2r\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} l^2) = (r-1)^2(a-1)(2a-1)/(6a)$.

□

Remark that, in each formula in Lemma 2.2, we can obtained the right-hand side from the left-hand side by replacing $[lr/a]$ to $(r-1)l/a$.

Lemma 2.3. *$w_1 \geq w_2$.*

Proof. Remark that $lr - [lr/a]a = \varphi(l)$ where φ is the bijective map stated in Lemma 2.1, and the map $l \mapsto l + [lr/a]$ is strictly increasing. Hense,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \left(lr - \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]a\right)\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]\right) \geq \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} (a-l)\left(l + \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right]\right).$$

□

Remark 2.1. We see that $r \equiv a-1 \pmod a$ if and only if $w_1 = w_2$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3a+1)(w_1+(a+r)w_2)\\
&\geq (3a+1)(a+r+1)w_2\\
&= \frac{1}{2}(3a+1)(a+r+1)\left(\sum_{l=1}^{a-1}(l+[lr/a])(a+(a-2)l+a[lr/a])-g(g+1)\right)\\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}(3a+1)(a+r+1)\left(\sum_{l=1}^{a-1}(l+(r-1)l/a)(a+(a-2)l+(r-1)l)-g(g+1)\right)\\
&= \frac{g}{4}(a^2+ar-2a+r-3)(a^2+ar+4a/3+r/3+1/3).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the following.

$$(g-1)g(g+1)=\frac{g}{4}(a^2+ar-2a-r-1)(a^2+ar-2a-r+3).$$

Hence, we conclude. □

2.2. **GW point.** First, we state the structure of the function field of a curve having a GW point with a Weierstrass semigroup generated by two integers.

Lemma 2.4 (Lemma 2.3 in [4])**.** *Let P be a GW point of a curve C with $H(P)=\langle a,b\rangle$. Then, for any $x\in k(C)$ with $(x)_\infty=aP$, there exists $y\in k(C)$ with $(y)_\infty=bP$ such that $k(C)=k(x,y)$ and $y^a=\prod_{i=1}^{b}(x-c_i)$, where each c_i is a distinct element of k.*

Remark 2.2. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\setminus\langle a,b\rangle=\{jb-na\mid j\in\{1,\dots,a-1\},n\in\mathbb{N},jb-na>0\}$, we have that $\#(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\setminus\langle a,b\rangle)=\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}[jb/a]=(a-1)(b-1)/2$ by Lemma 2.2. For a point P in a curve C, we have that $\#(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\setminus H(P))=g(C)$, where $g(C)$ is the genus of C. Hence, if a curve C has a point P with $H(P)=\langle a,b\rangle$, then $g(C)=(a-1)(b-1)/2$.

Let $\pi:C\to C'$ be a cyclic covering of curves with a total ramification point P over P'. We can find $H(P')$ from some $H(P)$ as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\pi:C\to C'$ be a cyclic covering of degree t with a total ramification point P over P'. Then, we have $H(P')=\{h'\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mid th'\in H(P)\}$.*

Proof. Let σ be an automorphism of C such that $C'=C/\langle\sigma\rangle$.

Let $h'\in H(P')$, i.e., there exists a rational function f on C' such that $(f)_\infty=h'P'$. Then, we get $(\pi^*f)_\infty=th'P$, where we set $\pi^*f=f\circ\pi$. Hence, we obtain $H(P')\subset\{h'\mid th'\in H(P)\}$.

Conversely, let $h'\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $th'\in H(P)$. Then we have a rational function $\tilde{f}$ on C with $(\tilde{f})_\infty=th'P$. Consider $F=\tilde{f}+\sigma^*\tilde{f}+(\sigma^*)^2\tilde{f}+\cdots+(\sigma^*)^{t-1}\tilde{f}$, which is a rational function on C. Then we get $\sigma^*F=\sigma^*\tilde{f}+(\sigma^*)^2\tilde{f}+(\sigma^*)^3\tilde{f}+\cdots+(\sigma^*)^{t-1}\tilde{f}+\tilde{f}=F$. Hence, we get $F\in k(C')$. Let

$$\tilde{f}=\frac{1}{z^{th'}}+\frac{c_{-th'+1}}{z^{th'-1}}+\dots,$$

where z is a local parameter at P with $\sigma^*z=\zeta z$, here ζ is a primitive t-th root of unity. Then we obtain $\sigma^*\tilde{f}=1/z^{th'}+\dots$, which implies that $F=t/z^{th'}+\dots$. Then we get $(F)_\infty=th'P$ on C, which implies that $(F)_\infty=h'P'$ on C'. Hence, we obtain $h'\in H(P')$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let a, b and t are positive integers with $a < b$, $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ and $t|a$. Then, we have $\{h' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid th' \in \langle a,b\rangle\} = \langle a/t, b\rangle$.*

Proof. Let $h' \in \langle a/t, b\rangle$, i.e., $h' = n \cdot a/t + mb$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence, we get $th' = na + mtb \in \langle a,b\rangle$. Thus, we obtain $\langle a/t, b\rangle \subset \{h' \mid th' \in \langle a,b\rangle\}$.

Let h' with $th' \in \langle a,b\rangle$, i.e., $th' = na + mb$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In view of $t|a$ we have $a = td$ for some d. Hence, we get $mb = th' - na = th' - ntd = t(h' - nd)$. Since $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ and $t|a$, we have $\gcd(t,b) = 1$. Thus, we get $t|m$, i.e., $m = td'$ for some d'. Hence, we have $th' = na + td'b$, which implies that $h' = n \cdot a/t + d'b \in \langle a/t, b\rangle$. Thus, we obtain $\{h' \mid th' \in \langle a,b\rangle\} \subset \langle a/t, b\rangle$. □

For a cyclic covering which is given by a GW point whose semigroup is generated by two integers, we can compute the Weierstrass semigroup of a ramification point as follows.

Lemma 2.7. *Let P be a GW point with $H(P) = \langle a,b\rangle$ on a curve C. Then, the ramification points of the map $\Phi_{|aP|}$ are P and other b points (say $Q_1, \ldots, Q_b$) which are totally ramified. Furthermore, the Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_i)$ of every Q_i is $\langle a,b\rangle$ if $b+1 \equiv 0 \pmod a$, or*

$$\langle \{a\} \cup \{l(b+a-\bar{r}) - [l(a-\bar{r}+1)/a]a \mid l = 1, \ldots, a-1\}\rangle$$

if $b+1 \equiv \bar{r} \pmod a$ and $0 < \bar{r} < a$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we have $x, y \in k(C)$ such that $(x)_\infty = aP$, $(y)_\infty = bP$, $k(C) = k(x,y)$ and $y^a = \prod_{i=1}^{b}(x - c_i)$, where each c_i is a distinct element of k. Then, we may assume that the map $\Phi_{|aP|}$ is given by the function x and the ramification point Q_i holds $x(Q_i) = c_i$ and $y(Q_i) = 0$. Let m and $\bar{r}$ be the integers such that $b+1 = ma + \bar{r}$ and $0 < \bar{r} \leq a$. Let $\tilde{x}_i := 1/(x - c_i)$ and $\tilde{y}_i := \tilde{x}_i^{m+1} y$. Since $\mathrm{div}(x - c_i) = aQ_i - aP$ and $\mathrm{div}(y) = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_b - bP$, we have that $\mathrm{div}(\tilde{x}_i) = aP - aQ_i$ and $\mathrm{div}(\tilde{y}) = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_b - bP - (m+1)(aQ_i - aP) = (Q_1 + \cdots + Q_b - Q_i) + (a - \bar{r} + 1)P - (a(m+1) - 1)Q_i$. Moreover, for $l = 1, \ldots, a-1$ and $N_l := [l(a - \bar{r} + 1)/a]$, we have that $(\tilde{y}^l/\tilde{x}^{N_l})_\infty = (l(b + a - \bar{r}) - N_l a)Q_i$. Let

$$S := \{a\} \cup \{l(b+a-\bar{r}) - [l(a-\bar{r}+1)/a]a \mid l = 1, \ldots, a-1\},$$

and $H := \langle S\rangle$. Then, now we have $H \subset H(Q_i)$.

Let

$$G := \{m_{l,n} \mid m_{l,n} = l(b+a-\bar{r}) - [l(a-\bar{r}+1)/a]a - na > 0, \\ l \in \{1, \ldots, a-1\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then, $G \supset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H \supset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(Q_i)$. By Lemma 2.2, the number of elements of G is equal to

$$\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} [l(b+a-\bar{r})/a] - \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} [l(a-\bar{r}+1)/a] = (a-1)(b-1)/2.$$

The number of elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(Q_i)$ is equal to the genus of C, i.e., $g(C) = (a-1)(b-1)/2$ by Remark 2.2. Hence, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(Q_i)$, so $H(Q_i) = H$.

If $\bar{r} = a$, then, we have $H = \langle a,b\rangle$. □

Remark 2.3. For a numerical a-semigroup H, i.e., H is a submonoid of $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, +)$ such that $\#(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H) < \infty$ and a is the smallest positive integer in H, the subset $\{a, s_1, \ldots, s_{a-1}\}$, where $s_i = \min\{t \in H \mid t \equiv i \pmod a\}$, is referred to as the

standard basis for H (Section 2 in [7]). In the proof of Lemma 2.7, S is the standard basis for $H(Q_i)$. The standard basis for $H(P) = \langle a, b\rangle$ is $\{a, b, 2b, \ldots, (a-1)b\}$. We see that $b \equiv a-1 \pmod{a}$ (i.e., $\bar{r} = a$) if and only if $H(Q_i) = \langle a, b\rangle$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3.

3.1. **The case that $a+2 \leq b \leq 2a-2$.** We prove Theorem 1.3 for the case that $a+2 \leq b \leq 2a-2$. In this subsection, we assume that $a \geq 5$, $2 \leq r \leq a-2$, $\gcd(a, r) = 1$ and $b = a + r$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $H := \langle a, b\rangle$. Then, its Weierstrass weight is equal to the value w_1 which is stated in Proposition 2.1.*

Proof. The standard basis for H is $\{a, a+r, 2(a+r), \ldots, (a-1)(a+r)\}$. Hence, the gap sequence of H is the following.

$$\{\, l(a+r) - na \mid l \in \{1, \ldots, a-1\},\, n \in \mathbb{N},\, l(a+r) - na > 0 \,\}.$$

Hence, the weight of the gap sequence is the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{l(a+r)}{a}\right]} (l(a+r) - na) - \frac{g(g+1)}{2} \\
=\ &\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{l(a+r)}{a}\right]} \left(lr - \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right] a + \left(\left[\frac{l(a+r)}{a}\right] - n \right) a \right) - \frac{g(g+1)}{2} \\
=\ &\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{l(a+r)}{a}\right]} \left(lr - \left[\frac{lr}{a}\right] a \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{l(a+r)}{a}\right]-1} (na) - \frac{g(g+1)}{2} \ =\ w_1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark that $b + 1 = a + r + 1 \equiv r + 1 \pmod{a}$. Let $\bar{r} := r + 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $H := \langle \{a\} \cup \{l(b+a-\bar{r}) - [l(a-\bar{r}+1)/a]a \mid l = 1, \ldots, a-1\}\rangle$. Then, its Weierstrass weight is equal to the value w_2 which is stated in Proposition 2.1.*

Proof. We have that $l(b + a - \bar{r}) - [l(a - \bar{r} + 1)/a]a = l(2a-1) - [l(a-r)/a]a = (a-l) + la + [lr/a]a$. By Remark 2.3, the gap sequence of H is

$$\{\, m_{l,n} \mid m_{l,n} = (a-l) + la + [lr/a]a - na > 0,\, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, a-1\},\, n \in \mathbb{N} \,\}.$$

By the calculation similar to that in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have that the weight of the gap sequence equals w_2. □

Let $\delta := \#GW_{\langle a,b\rangle}(C)$.

Lemma 3.3. *$\delta = 0, 1, a+1$ or $2a+1$.*

Proof. Assume that there exist two GW points P and Q with $H(P) = H(Q) = \langle a, b\rangle$. By Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.3, the map $\Phi_{|aP|}$ is a cyclic covering of degree a and is not ramified at Q. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ be a generator of the cyclic group $\mathrm{Gal}(\Phi_{|aP|}) := \{\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(C) \mid \Phi_{|aP|} \circ \tau = \Phi_{|aP|}\}$. Then, we can find $a+1$ GW points with the Weierstrass semigroup $\langle a, b\rangle$, that is, these are P and $\sigma^i(Q)$ $(0 \leq i \leq a-1)$.

Assume that there exist $a+2$ GW points P, $\sigma^i(Q)$ $(0 \leq i \leq a-1)$ and R with the Weierstrass semigroup $\langle a, b\rangle$. By the same argument as above, we can find $2a+1$ GW points with the Weierstrass semigroup $\langle a, b\rangle$.

Assume that $\delta \geq 2a+2$. By the same argument as above, we see that $\delta \geq 3a+1$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.7, the cyclic covering with respect to each GW point has $b+1$ total ramification points, and the sum of weights of these $b+1$ points is equal to $w_1 + bw_2$. Since the total weights of Weierstrass points is equal to $(g-1)g(g-1)$ (Proposition III.5.10 in [1]), we have that $(3a+1)(w_1+bw_2) \leq (g-1)g(g-1)$. This contradicts to Proposition 2.1. □

Here we prove Theorem 1.3 for the case that $a+2 \leq b \leq 2a-2$, that is, we show the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *$\delta = 0$ or 1.*

Proof. If $\delta > 1$, then $\delta = a+1$ or $2a+1$ by Lemma 3.3.

Assume that $\delta = a+1$. Let P_i $(0 \leq i \leq a)$ be these $a+1$ GW points. Then, by the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have $aP_0 \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a} P_i$, where $\sim$ means linear equivalence. We also have $aP_1 \sim P_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{a} P_i$. Hence, $(a+1)P_0 \sim \sum_{i=0}^{a} P_i \sim (a+1)P_1$. However, $a+1$ is a gap of $H(P_0) = \langle a, a+r\rangle$. This is a contradiction.

Assume that $\delta = 2a+1$. Let P_i $(0 \leq i \leq 2a)$ be these $2a+1$ GW points. Then, by the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we may assume $aP_0 \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a} P_i \sim \sum_{i=a+1}^{2a} P_i$. Hence, $2aP_0 \sim \sum_{i=1}^{2a} P_i$. We also have $2aP_1 \sim P_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{2a} P_i$. Hence, $(2a+1)P_0 \sim \sum_{i=0}^{2a} P_i \sim (2a+1)P_1$. However, $2a+1$ is a gap of $H(P_0) = \langle a, a+r\rangle$. This is a contradiction. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.3 for the case that $2a-1 \leq b$.** We prove Theorem 1.3 for the case that $2a-1 \leq b$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let P be a GW point on a curve C with $H(P) = \langle a, b\rangle$, where $3 \leqq a$, $2a < b$ and $\gcd(a,b) = 1$. Then, $|aP|$ is only one base-point free linear system on C with projective dimension 1 and degree a.*

Proof. We set $g^1_a = |aP|$. Assume that there is another base-point free linear system h^1_a on C with projective dimension 1 and degree a such that $g^1_a \not\sim h^1_a$. Since $2a < b$, by Remark 2.2, we have

$$g(C) = \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2} \geqq \frac{(a-1)(2a+1-1)}{2} = a(a-1) > (a-1)^2.$$

Hence, by Castelnuovo's inequality, there exists a morphism $\varphi : C \to C'$ of degree t with $1 < t$ and $t|a$ such that $g^1_a = g^1_{a/t} \circ \varphi$ and $h^1_a = h^1_{a/t} \circ \varphi$, where $g^1_{a/t}$ and $h^1_{a/t}$ are base-point free linear systems with projective dimension 1 and degree a/t, and for a base-point free linear system g^1_s we also denote by g^1_s the morphism $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by g^1_s. Since P is a Galois Weierstrass point, the morphism g^1_a is a cyclic covering. Hence, the morphisms φ and $g^1_{a/t}$ are also cyclic coverings of degree t and a/t respectively. We set $P' = \varphi(P)$. Then P is a total ramification point over P'. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 we obtain $H(P') = \langle a/t, b\rangle$. We have

$$g(C') = \frac{(a/t-1)(b-1)}{2} \geqq \frac{(a/t-1)(2a+1-1)}{2} = a\,(a/t-1) > (a/t-1)^2\,.$$

Since $g^1_{a/t}$ is not linearly equivalent to $h^1_{a/t}$, by Castelnuovo's inequality there exists a morphism $\varphi_1 : C' \to C''$ of degree t_1 with $1 < t_1$ and $t_1 \Big| (a/t)$ such that $g^1_{a/t} = g^1_{a/(t_1 t)} \circ \varphi_1$ and $h^1_{a/t} = h^1_{a/(t_1 t)} \circ \varphi_1$. Since $g^1_{a/t}$ is a cyclic coverings, so are the morphisms φ_1 and $g^1_{a/(t_1 t)}$. We set $P'' = \varphi_1(P')$. Then we get $H(P'') = \langle a/(t_1 t), b\rangle$. We can continue this process infinitely. Since a is bounded, this is a contradiction. □

By Remark 2.3 and Lemmas 3.5, we conclude Theorem 1.3 for the case that $2a < b$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let C be a curve and P be a GW point on C with $H(P) = \langle a, 2a-1\rangle$, where $3 \leq a$. If Q is a point on C such that $H(Q)$ is an a-semigroup, then the divisor aQ is linearly equivalent to aP.*

Proof. Assume that $aQ \not\sim aP$, where $\sim$ means linear equivalence. Let $x, y \in k(C)$ such that $(x)_\infty = aP$ and $(y)_\infty = aQ$. By the assumption, the field $k(x)$ is properly contained in $k(x, y)$.

Let $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the morphism determined by the morphisms $\Phi_{|aP|} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\Phi_{|aQ|} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Let C' be the nonsingular curve that is birational to the image $\varphi(C)$. We consider the case where $k(x, y) = k(C')$ is properly contained in $k(C)$. By the same way to the proof of Proposition 3.5, we get a contradiction. Thus we obtain $k(C) = k(x, y)$. Namely, C is birational to $\varphi(C)$. Since the arithmetic genus of $\varphi(C)$ equals $g(C) = (a-1)^2$, $\varphi(C)$ is nonsingular. Thus, C is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

We set $L := \{\text{a point}\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and $M := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\text{a point}\} \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. Then we have $C \sim aL + aM$. Moreover, we obtain $L|_C \sim aP$ and $M|_C \sim aQ$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-C) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0$, where we set $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Hence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nL - C) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nL) \to \mathcal{O}_C(naP) \to 0.$$

Since $nL - C \sim nL - aL - aM = -aM + (n-a)L$, by Künneth formula, we obtain

$$H^i(nl - C) = H^i(-aM + (n-a)L) = \oplus_{s+t=i} H^s(-a) \otimes H^t(n-a),$$

where for an integer s we set $H^i(s) := H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(s))$. Hence, we get

$$H^0(nL - C) = H^0(-a) \otimes H^0(n-a) = 0$$

and

$$H^1(nL-C) = (H^1(-a)\otimes H^0(n-a)) \oplus (H^0(-a)\otimes H^1(n-a)) = H^1(-a)\otimes H^0(n-a),$$

which implies that $h^1(nL-C) = h^0(a-2)h^0(n-a)$. Thus, we have $h^1(nL-C) = 0$ if $n \leqq a-1$, and $h^1(nL-C) = (a-1)(n-a+1)$ if $n \geqq a$. Therefore, we have an exact sequence $0 \to H^0(nL) \to H^0(C, naP) \to 0$ for $n \leqq a-1$. Hence, we get $h^0(naP) = h^0(nL) = n+1$ for $n \leqq a-1$. Since $g(C) = (a-1)^2$ equals $\#(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(P))$, we obtain

$$H(P) = \{0, a, 2a, \ldots, (a-1)a\} \cup \{h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid h \geqq (a-1)a + 1\},$$

which is distinct from the numerical semigroup $\langle a, 2a-1\rangle$. This is a contradiction. □

By Remark 2.3 and Lemma 3.6, we conclude Theorem 1.3 for the case that $b = 2a - 1$. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4. Example

We state some examples of Theorem 1.3.

Example 4.1. Let $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a singular plane curve defined by the equation $Y^3Z^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{5}(X - jZ)$, where $(X : Y : Z)$ is a system of homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then, C' has only one singular point $P' := (0 : 1 : 0)$, which is a cusp. Remark that P' is an extendable Galois point for C' (For the definition of an extendable Galois point, see [3]), in particular, $k(C')/\pi_{P'}^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is Galois. Let $\rho : C \to C'$ be the resolution of singularities, i.e., the composition of blowups over P', and $P := \rho^{-1}(P')$. The genus of C equals $g(C) = 4$.

We see that $H(P) = \langle 3, 5\rangle$ as follows. Let x, $y \in k(C)$ be rational functions induced by X/Z and Y/Z, respectively. Then, $(x)_\infty = 3P$ and $(y)_\infty = 5P$. Hence, $H(P) \supset \langle 3, 5\rangle$. The number of elements of the gap sequence at P, i.e., $\#(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(P))$, equals $g(C) = 4$ and the number $\#(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \langle 3, 5\rangle)$ also equals 4. So we have $H(P) = \langle 3, 5\rangle$.

The morphism $\Phi_{|3P|} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the linear system $|3P|$ is the composition $\pi_{P'} \circ \rho$. Hence, $\Phi_{|3P|}$ is a Galois covering and P is a GW point. By Lemma 2.7, we have that the Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_j)$ of a ramification point $Q_j := \rho^{-1}((j : 0 : 1))$ of $\Phi_{|3P|}$, $j = 1, \ldots, 5$, is also $\langle 3, 5\rangle$. Every Q_j is a GW point. By Theorem 1.3, we have $GW_{\langle 3,5\rangle}(C) = \{P, Q_1, \ldots, Q_5\}$

Example 4.2. Let $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a singular plane curve defined by the equation $Y^3Z^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{7}(X - jZ)$, where $(X : Y : Z)$ is a system of homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\rho : C \to C'$ be the resolution of singularities. By an similar argument to that in Example 4.1, we have that $P := \rho^{-1}((0 : 1 : 0))$ is a GW point with $H(P) = \langle 3, 7\rangle$. Every ramification point $Q_j := \rho^{-1}((j : 0 : 1))$ of $\Phi_{|3P|}$, $j = 1, \ldots, 7$, is also a GW point. However, $H(Q_i) = \langle 3, 8, 13\rangle$ by Lemma 2.7. By Theorem 1.3, $GW_{\langle 3,7\rangle}(C) = \{P\}$.

References

[1] Farkas, H. M., Kra, I.: Riemann surfaces. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 71. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992

[2] Fukasawa, S.: On the number of Galois points for a plane curve in positive characteristic. III. Geometriae Dedicata 146, 9–20 (2010)

[3] Fukasawa, S., Miura, K.: Galois points for a plane curve and its dual curve. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 132, 61–74 (2014)

[4] Komeda, J., Takahashi, T.: Relating Galois points to weak Galois Weierstrass points through double coverings of curves. J. Korean Math. Soc. 54(1), 6986 (2017)

[5] Miura, K., Yoshihara, H.: Field theory for function fields of plane quartic curves. J. Algebra 226(1), 283–294 (2000)

[6] Morrison, I., Pinkham, H.: Galois Weierstrass points and Hurwitz characters. Ann. Math. (2) 124(3), 591–625 (1986)

[7] Rim, D.S., Vitulli, M.A.: Weierstrass points and monomial curves. J. Algebra 48(2), 454–476 (1977)

[8] Yoshihara, H.: Function field theory of plane curves by dual curves. J. Algebra 239(1), 340–355 (2001)

[9] Yoshihara, H., Fukasawa, S.: List of problems. available at `http://hyoshihara.web.fc2.com/openquestion.html`

Department of Mathematics, Center for Basic Education and Integrated Learning, Kanagawa Institute of Technology, Atsugi, Kanagawa, 243-0292, Japan
E-mail address: komeda@gen.kanagawa-it.ac.jp

Faculty of Engineering, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan
E-mail address: takeshi@ie.niigata-u.ac.jp